

LFC Requester:	Julia Downs
-----------------------	--------------------

**AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS
2016 REGULAR SESSION**

WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, EMAIL ANALYSIS TO:

LFC@NMLEGIS.GOV

x

and

DFA@STATE.NM.US

{Include the bill no. in the email subject line, e.g., HB2, and only attach one bill analysis and related documentation per email message}

SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION

{Indicate if analysis is on an original bill, amendment, substitute or a correction of a previous bill}

Check all that apply: Date 01/14/2016
Original **Amendment** _____ **Bill No:** HB90
Correction _____ **Substitute** _____

Sponsor: Luciano "Lucky" Varela **Agency Code:** 264 (AODA)
Short Judgeship in the Fifth Judicial **Person Writing** Mary Lynne Newell
Title: District **Phone:** 5753136890 **Email** starlight@gilanet.com

SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT

APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)

Appropriation		Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY16	FY17		
356.4	none	Nonrecurring, as written	General

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

Estimated Revenue			Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY16	FY17	FY18		

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY16	FY17	FY18	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Total		yes	yes	Unknown	Recurring	General

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to:
Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

SECTION III: NARRATIVE

BILL SUMMARY

Synopsis: This bill will give the Fifth Judicial District a total of twelve (12) district judges, instead of eleven (11). It provides for funding for the first year for the position, staff, and necessary furnishings and supplies.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

Necessarily, all costs but for one-time items such as furnishings will be recurring.

Note: major assumptions underlying fiscal impact should be documented.

Note: if additional operating budget impact is estimated, assumptions and calculations should be reported in this section.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

Locally, an additional judgeship may necessitate an increase in prosecutorial staff for the Fifth's District Attorney's Office, including an attorney and support staff, lest court proceedings be unable to be covered by existing resources. The judgeship will create a caseload that could necessitate staff needs for DAs and Public Defender.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

TECHNICAL ISSUES

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

ALTERNATIVES

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

AMENDMENTS