

LFC Requester:	Julia Downs
-----------------------	--------------------

**AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS
2016 REGULAR SESSION**

WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, EMAIL ANALYSIS TO:

LFC@NMLEGIS.GOV

and

DFA@STATE.NM.US

{Include the bill no. in the email subject line, e.g., HB2, and only attach one bill analysis and related documentation per email message}

SECTION I: GENERAL INFORMATION

{Indicate if analysis is on an original bill, amendment, substitute or a correction of a previous bill}

Check all that apply:

Original **Amendment**
Correction **Substitute** X-HJC

Date 01/29/2016
Bill No: HB72

Sponsor: Nate Gentry, Paul A. Pacheco
Short Title: Allow use of juvenile disposition and evidence

Agency Code: 264 (AODA)
Person Writing: Mary Lynne Newell
Phone: 5753136890 **Email:** starlight@gilanet.com

SECTION II: FISCAL IMPACT

APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)

Appropriation		Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY16	FY17		
none	None		

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

REVENUE (dollars in thousands)

Estimated Revenue			Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY16	FY17	FY18		

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY16	FY17	FY18	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Total						

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to:
Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

SECTION III: NARRATIVE

BILL SUMMARY

Synopsis: This HJC Committee substitute for the HRPAC Committee substitute bill eliminates one paragraph (Section 32A-2-26 Paragraph “I”) from the first substitute. That paragraph had provided that youthful offender records sealed pursuant to Subsection H of this section could be unsealed by the court along with any evidence given in a hearing in court for a youthful offender when considering the setting of bail or other conditions of release of a person charged with an offense, whether charged as an adult or juvenile, and when rendering a sentence after a conviction.

Other than that, the substitutes are identical to each other.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

No impact on the District Attorneys.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

We stand by our earlier analysis.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

None for the District Attorneys.

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

TECHNICAL ISSUES

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

ALTERNATIVES

WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

AMENDMENTS